

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The rejection indicated that Claims 1-2, 6-12, 16-22, 26-33 and 34-48 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections, under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating the allowable subject matter.

#### Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1, 11 and 21 are rejected, under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as allegedly having insufficient antecedent basis. Applicants have respectfully amended independent Claims 1, 11 and 21 to overcome the rejection, under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1, 11 and 21 are in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. As such, withdrawal of the rejection is earnestly solicited.

For the above reasons, the Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112.


### CONCLUSION

In light of the above listed remarks, reconsideration of the rejected Claims 1-2, 6-12, 16-22, and 26-48 is requested. Based on the arguments presented above, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 1-2, 6-12, 16-22, and 26-48 overcome the rejections of record and, therefore, allowance of Claims 1-2, 6-12, 16-22, and 26-48 is earnestly solicited.

Please charge any additional fees or apply any credits to our PTO deposit account number: 50-4160.

Dated: OCT 30th, 2007

Respectfully submitted,  
MURABITO, HAO & BARNES LLP



Amir A. Tabarrok  
Registration No. 57,137

MURABITO, HAO & BARNES LLP  
Two North Market Street  
Third Floor  
San Jose, California 95113

(408) 938-9060 Voice  
(408) 938-9069 Facsimile